

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,728	10/02/2006	Per-Ola Vallebrant	2802-521-004 US	4698
24645 90 01,259:2010 PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION HUNTER MOLNAR BAKER MORGAN 6035 PARKLAND BOULE-VARD CLEVELAND, OH 44124-4141			EXAMINER	
			KERSHTEYN, IGOR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, -		3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/549,728 VALLEBRANT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	igor Keisiteyii 3745
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address r Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Books of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed period for reply is specified above. the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABMOCNEC [35 U.S.C. § 133). pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2009</u> .
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	on Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	(a)
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S8/00) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/09/2009.	5) Notice of Informal Patent Arthication 6) Other:	_
A District Control of the Control of		_

Application/Control Number: 10/549,728 Page 2

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the valves of Dietiker are not "flow control valves", the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panissidi (2.878.015) in view of Dietiker (3.565.208).

Panissidi, in figure 1, teaches an arrangement for controlling a hydraulically driven motor 22, forming part of a hydraulic system in which hydraulic fluid under pressure forms a main flow through a main duct 21.25 in which the motor is connected.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,728

Art Unit: 3745

the motor being adapted to drive a varying load, and one or more valves 40 being adapted for controlling the hydraulic fluid flow through the motor on the one hand during operation and on the other hand for starting and stopping of the motor, one of the valves consisting of a flow control valve (7) which is connected in the main duct (1) downstream of the outlet of the motor.

Panissidi doesn't teach the flow control valve is integrated with the motor housing.

Dietiker, in figures 1-7, teaches a motor, having a housing 10, and a flow control valve 86,88, the flow control valve is integrated with the motor housing. Since Panissidi and Dietiker are analogous art, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to integrate the flow control valve of Panissidi with the housing as taught by Dietiker for the purpose of compactness.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-8 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igor Kershteyn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4817. The examiner can normally be reached on regular.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)2724820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,728 Page 5

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Igor Kershteyn/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745 Igor Kershteyn Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745